

		10, 2016 re: Pursuant to the Court's Order dated November 10, 2016, the Government writes in opposition to the defendant's application for authorization to provide to the Ministry of Justice of the Republic of Turkey the affidavit in support of an application for a search warrant dated September 24, 2014 (the "September 2014 Affidavit"), which is covered by the protective order entered in this case on June 1, 2016 (the "Protective Order"). ENDORSEMENT: Clerk to docket and file. SO ORDERED: (Signed by Judge Richard M. Berman on 11/15/2016)(bw) (Entered: 11/15/2016)
11/15/2016	124	MEMO ENDORSEMENT denying 115 LETTER MOTION filed by Reza Zarrab (1), addressed to Judge Richard M. Berman from Attorney Viet D. Dinh, Attorney Benjamin Brafman, dated 11/09/2016 re: Authorization to Provide 2014 Search Warrant Application to Ministry of Justice of the Republic of Turkey. ENDORSEMENT: The Protective Order, dated June 1, 2016, was developed -- and agreed to -- by experienced and sophisticated counsel for the defense and for the government, prior to submission to the Court to be "so ordered". Based upon the record herein, the Court perceives no basis to depart from the parties' Protective Order agreement and to determine that access to the September 2014 Affidavit is necessary for the purpose of preparing the defense of this case. The defense application herein is respectfully denied. SO ORDERED: (Signed by Judge Richard M. Berman on 11/15/2016) (bw) (Entered: 11/15/2016)
11/17/2016	125	REPLY MEMORANDUM OF LAW in Support as to Reza Zarrab re: 112 MOTION to Suppress <i>Emails</i> . . (Brafman, Benjamin) (Entered: 11/17/2016)
11/17/2016	126	SEALED DOCUMENT placed in vault. (mps) (Entered: 11/17/2016)
11/17/2016	127	LETTER RESPONSE in Opposition by USA as to Reza Zarrab addressed to Judge Richard M. Berman from USA dated November 17, 2016 re: 92 JOINT LETTER MOTION addressed to Judge Richard M. Berman from Christine Chung and Benjamin Brafman dated October 19, 2016 re: Modification of Protective Order .. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order)(Denton, David) (Entered: 11/17/2016)
11/18/2016	128	LETTER MOTION addressed to Judge Richard M. Berman from USA dated November 18, 2016 re: Curcio Hearing . Document filed by USA as to Reza Zarrab. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit Proposed Script)(Denton, David) (Entered: 11/18/2016)
11/21/2016	129	MEMO ENDORSEMENT 128 LETTER MOTION The Government respectfully submits this letter to advise the Court of potential conflicts of interest presented by the representation of the defendant, Reza Zarrab, by the law firm Kirkland & Ellis, LLP and to request that the Court hold a hearing pursuant to US v. Curcio. For the Court's convenience, the Government has enclosed a set of proposed questions to be put to the defendant as part of the Curcio inquiry...ENDORSEMENT...Defense counsel to respond in written by close of business (5pm) today (includes proposed curcio questions). (Signed by Judge Richard M. Berman on 11/21/2016) (jw) (Entered: 11/21/2016)
11/21/2016	130	LETTER RESPONSE to Motion by Reza Zarrab addressed to Judge Richard M. Berman from Benjamin Brafman dated November 21, 2016 re: 128 LETTER MOTION addressed to Judge Richard M. Berman from USA dated November 18, 2016 re: Curcio Hearing .. (Attachments: # 1 Zarrab's Proposed Curcio Questions)(Brafman, Benjamin) (Entered: 11/21/2016)
11/22/2016	131	ORDER as to (15-Cr-867-01) Reza Zarrab. A Curcio hearing, as described in the Government's letter (Mr. Lockard, Mr. Kamaraju, Mr. Denton) of November 18, 2016 and the Defense letter (Mr. Dinh and Mr. Brafman) of November 21, 2016, is (absolutely) necessary in this case. And, such a hearing must be held sufficiently in advance of the currently scheduled November 30 hearing. (Indeed, a Curcio hearing must be conducted before any further proceedings in this matter.) The Curcio hearing is necessary to explore and determine actual or potential conflicts of interest which may result from the fact that